

ISSN 2181-1342

 Actual problems of social and humanitarian sciences
Актуальные проблемы социальных и гуманитарных наук

**Ijtimoiy-gumanitar
fanlarning dolzarb
muammolari**

Son 6 Jild 4

2024

SCIENCEPROBLEMS.UZ

**ИЖТИМОЙ-ГУМАНИТАР ФАНЛАРНИНГ
ДОЛЗАРБ МУАММОЛАРИ**

№ 6 (4) - 2024

**АКТУАЛЬНЫЕ ПРОБЛЕМЫ СОЦИАЛЬНО-
ГУМАНИТАРНЫХ НАУК**

ACTUAL PROBLEMS OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES

ТОШКЕНТ-2024

БОШ МУҲАРРИР:

Исанова Феруза Тулқиновна

ТАҲРИР ҲАЙЪАТИ:

07.00.00-ТАРИХ ФАНЛАРИ:

Юлдашев Анвар Эргашевич – тарих фанлари доктори, сиёсий фанлар номзоди, профессор, Ўзбекистон Республикаси Президенти ҳузуридаги Давлат бошқаруви академияси;

Мавланов Укташ Махмасабирович – тарих фанлари доктори, профессор, Ўзбекистон Республикаси Президенти ҳузуридаги Давлат бошқаруви академияси;

Хазраткулов Аброр – тарих фанлари доктори, доцент, Ўзбекистон давлат жаҳон тиллари университети.

Турсунов Равшан Нормуратович – тарих фанлари доктори, Ўзбекистон Миллий Университети;

Холикулов Ахмаджон Боймаҳамматовиҷ – тарих фанлари доктори, Ўзбекистон Миллий Университети;

Габриэльян Софья Ивановна – тарих фанлари доктори, доцент, Ўзбекистон Миллий Университети.

08.00.00-ИҚТИСОДИЁТ ФАНЛАРИ:

Карлибаева Раја Хожабаевна – иқтисодиёт фанлари доктори, профессор, Тошкент давлат иқтисодиёт университети;

Насирходжаева Дилафруз Сабитхановна – иқтисодиёт фанлари доктори, профессор, Тошкент давлат иқтисодиёт университети;

Остонокулов Азамат Абдукаримович – иқтисодиёт фанлари доктори, профессор, Тошкент молия институти;

Арабов Нурали Уралович – иқтисодиёт фанлари доктори, профессор, Самарқанд давлат университети;

Худойқулов Садирдин Каримович – иқтисодиёт фанлари доктори, доцент, Тошкент давлат иқтисодиёт университети;

Азизов Шерзод Ўқтамович – иқтисодиёт фанлари доктори, доцент, Ўзбекистон Республикаси Божхона институти;

Хожаев Азизхон Сайдалохонович – иқтисодиёт фанлари доктори, доцент, Фарғона политехника институти

Холов Актам Ҳатамович – иқтисодиёт фанлари бўйича фалсафа доктори (PhD), доцент, Ўзбекистон Республикаси Президенти ҳузуридаги Давлат бошқаруви академияси;

Шадиева Дилдора Ҳамидовна – иқтисодиёт фанлари бўйича фалсафа доктори (PhD), доцент в.б, Тошкент молия институти;

Шакаров Кулмат Аширович – иқтисодиёт фанлари номзоди, доцент, Тошкент ахборот технологиялари университети

09.00.00-ФАЛСАФА ФАНЛАРИ:

Ҳакимов Назар Ҳакимович – фалсафа фанлари доктори, профессор, Тошкент давлат иқтисодиёт университети;

Яҳшиликов Жўрабой – фалсафа фанлари доктори, профессор, Самарқанд давлат университети;

Файбуллаев Отабек Мухаммадиевич – фалсафа фанлари доктори, профессор, Самарқанд давлат чет тиллар институти;

Сайдова Камола Усканбаевна – фалсафа фанлари доктори, "Tashkent International University of Education" халқаро университети;

Ҳошимхонов Мўмин – фалсафа фанлари доктори, доцент, Жиззах педагогика институти;

Ўроқова Ойсулув Жамолиддиновна – фалсафа фанлари доктори, доцент, Андижон давлат тибиёт институти, Ижтимоий-гуманитар фанлар кафедраси мудири;

Носирходжаева Гулнора Абдукаҳхаровна – фалсафа фанлари номзоди, доцент, Тошкент давлат юридик университети;

Турдиев Бехruz Собирович – фалсафа фанлари бўйича фалсафа доктори (PhD), доцент, Бухоро давлат университети.

10.00.00-ФИЛОЛОГИЯ ФАНЛАРИ:

Ахмедов Ойбек Сапорбаевич – филология фанлари доктори, профессор, Ўзбекистон давлат жаҳон тиллари университети;

Кўчимов Шухрат Норқизилович – филология фанлари доктори, доцент, Тошкент давлат юридик университети;

Ҳасанов Шавкат Аҳадович – филология фанлари доктори, профессор, Самарқанд давлат университети;

Бахронова Дилрабо Келдиёровна – филология фанлари доктори, профессор, Ўзбекистон давлат жаҳон тиллари университети;

Мирсанов Ғайбулло Қулмуродович – филология фанлари доктори, профессор, Самарқанд давлат чет тиллар институти;

Салаҳутдинова Мушарраф Исамутдиновна – филология фанлари номзоди, доцент, Самарқанд давлат университети;

Кучкаров Рахман Урманович – филология фанлари номзоди, доцент в/б, Тошкент давлат юридик университети;

Юнусов Мансур Абдулаевич – филология фанлари номзоди, Ўзбекистон Республикаси Президенти хузуридаги Давлат бошқаруви академияси;

Саидов Улугбек Арипович – филология фанлари номзоди, доцент, Ўзбекистон Республикаси Президенти хузуридаги Давлат бошқаруви академияси.

12.00.00-ЮРИДИК ФАНЛАР:

Ахмедшаева Мавлюда Ахатовна – юридик фанлар доктори, профессор, Тошкент давлат юридик университети;

Мухитдинова Фирюза Абдурашидовна – юридик фанлар доктори, профессор, Тошкент давлат юридик университети;

Эсанова Замира Нормуротовна – юридик фанлар доктори, профессор, Ўзбекистон Республикасида хизмат кўрсатган юрист, Тошкент давлат юридик университети;

Ҳамроқулов Баҳодир Мамашарифович – юридик фанлар доктори, профессор в.б., Жаҳон иқтисодиёти ва дипломатия университети;

Зулфиқоров Шерзод Ҳуррамович – юридик фанлар доктори, профессор, Ўзбекистон Республикаси Жамоат хавфсизлиги университети;

Хайитов Хушвақт Сапарбаевич – юридик фанлар доктори, профессор, Ўзбекистон Республикаси Президенти хузуридаги Давлат бошқаруви академияси;

Асадов Шавкат Файбуллаевич – юридик фанлар доктори, доцент, Ўзбекистон Республикаси Президенти хузуридаги Давлат бошқаруви академияси;

Утемуратов Махмут Ажимуратович – юридик фанлар номзоди, профессор, Тошкент давлат юридик университети;

Сайдуллаев Шахзод Алиханович – юридик фанлар номзоди, профессор, Тошкент давлат юридик университети;

Ҳакимов Комил Бахтиярович – юридик фанлар доктори, доцент, Тошкент давлат юридик университети;

Юсупов Сардорбек Баходирович – юридик фанлар доктори, доцент, Тошкент давлат юридик университети;

Амиров Зафар Актамович – юридик фанлар бўйича фалсафа доктори (PhD), Ўзбекистон Республикаси Судъялар олий кенгаши хузуридаги Судъялар олий мактаби;

Жўраев Шерзод Юлдашевич – юридик фанлар номзоди, доцент, Тошкент давлат юридик университети;

Бабаджанов Атабек Давронбекович – юридик фанлар номзоди, доцент, Тошкент давлат юридик университети;

Раҳматов Элёр Жумабоевич - юридик фанлар номзоди, Тошкент давлат юридик университети;

13.00.00-ПЕДАГОГИКА ФАНЛАРИ:

Хашимова Дильдархон Уринбоевна – педагогика фанлари доктори, профессор, Тошкент давлат юридик университети;

Ибрагимова Гулнора Ҳавазматовна – педагогика фанлари доктори, профессор, Тошкент давлат иқтисодиёт университети;

Закирова Феруза Махмудовна - педагогика фанлари доктори, Тошкент ахборот технологиялари университети хузуридаги педагогик кадрларни қайта тайёрлаш ва уларнинг малакасини ошириш тармоқ маркази;

Қаюмова Насиба Ашурновна - педагогика фанлари доктори, профессор, Қарши давлат университети;

Тайланова Шохида Зайневна - педагогика фанлари доктори, доцент;

Жуманиёзова Муҳайё Тожиевна – педагогика фанлари доктори, доцент, Ўзбекистон давлат жаҳон тиллари университети;

Ибраҳимов Санжар Урунбаевич – педагогика фанлари доктори, Иқтисодиёт ва педагогика университети;

Жавлиева Шахноза Баҳодировна – педагогика фанлари бўйича фалсафа доктори (PhD), Самарқанд давлат университети;

Бобомуротова Латофат Элмуродовна - педагогика фанлари бўйича фалсафа доктори (PhD), Самарқанд давлат университети.

19.00.00-ПСИХОЛОГИЯ ФАНЛАРИ:

Каримова Васила Маманосировна – психология фанлари доктори, профессор, Низомий номидаги Тошкент давлат педагогика университети;

Хайитов Ойбек Эшбоевич – Жисмоний тарбия ва спорт бўйича мутахассисларни қайта тайёрлаш ва малакасини ошириш институти, психология фанлари доктори, профессор

Умарова Навбаҳор Шокировна – психология фанлари доктори, доцент, Низомий номидаги Тошкент давлат педагогика университети, Амалий психологияси кафедраси мудири;

Атабаева Наргис Батировна - психология фанлари доктори, доцент, Низомий номидаги Тошкент давлат педагогика университети;

Шамшетова Анжим Карамаддиновна – психология фанлари доктори, доцент, Ўзбекистон давлат жаҳон тиллари университети;

Қодиров Обид Сафарович – психология фанлари доктори (PhD), Самарканд вилоят ИИБ Тиббиёт бўлими психологик хизмат бошлиғи.

22.00.00-СОЦИОЛОГИЯ ФАНЛАРИ:

Латипова Нодира Мухтаржановна – социология фанлари доктори, профессор, Ўзбекистон миллий университети кафедра мудири;
Сеитов Азамат Пўлатович – социология фанлари доктори, профессор, Ўзбекистон миллий университети;

Содиқова Шоҳида Мархабоевна – социология фанлари доктори, профессор, Ўзбекистон халқаро ислом академияси.

23.00.00-СИЁСИЙ ФАНЛАР

Назаров Насриддин Атакулович –сиёсий фанлар доктори, фалсафа фанлари доктори, профессор, Тошкент архитектура қурилиш институти;
Бўтаев Усмонжон Хайруллаевич –сиёсий фанлар доктори, доцент, Ўзбекистон миллий университети кафедра мудири.

ОАК Рўйхати

Мазкур журнал Вазирлар Махкамаси хузуридаги Олий аттестация комиссияси Раёсатининг 2022 йил 30 ноябрдаги 327/5-сон қарори билан тарих, иқтисодиёт, фалсафа, филология, юридик ва педагогика фанлари бўйича илмий даражалар бўйича диссертациялар асосий натижаларини чоп этиш тавсия этилган илмий нашрлар рўйхатига киритилган.

Ижтимоий-гуманитар фанларнинг долзарб муаммолари” электрон журнали 2020 йил 6 август куни 1368-сонли гувоҳнома билан давлат рўйхатига олинган.

Муассис: “SCIENCEPROBLEMS TEAM” маъсулияти чекланган жамияти

Таҳририят манзили:

100070. Тошкент шаҳри, Яккасарой тумани, Кичик Бешёғоч кўчаси, 70/10-уй. Электрон манзил:
scienceproblems.uz@gmail.com

Боғланиш учун телефонлар:
(99) 602-09-84 (telegram).

07.00.00 – TARIX FANLARI

<i>Naurizbekova Nurlasamat Kudaybergenova</i> TURKISTONDA OILA VA NIKOH MUNOSABATLARINING O'ZIGA XOS JIHATLARI VA ROSSIYA IMPERIYASI BOSQINIDAN KEYIN MINTAQADA YUZ BERGAN DEMOGRAFIK JARAYONLAR	14-22
<i>Ortiqov Yosin Abdulboqiyevich</i> DUNGANSHUNOSLIK TADQIQOTLARI TARIXSHUNOSLIGI (1990-2023)	23-34
<i>Bobojonova Feruza Xajatovna</i> COBET XOKIMIYATI DAVRIDА ҚУЙИ ВА ЎРТА ЗАРАФШОН ВОҲАСИДА ТАЪЛИМ ТИЗИМИ	35-40
<i>Halimov Tal'at</i> XX ASRNING 20-30 YILLARIDAGI QULOQLASHTIRISH SIYOSATI VA UNING SALBIY OQIBATLARI (BUXORO VILOYATI MISOLIDA)	41-45
<i>Ilhomov Ziyorutdin Adxamovich, Shukurov Rustam Jo'raqulovich</i> ALEKSANDR BEKOVICH-CHERKASSKIY EKSPEDITSIYASINING BA'ZI TAFSIOTLARI: EKSPEDITSIYANING BOSHLANISHI	46-54
<i>Tilanova Shahnoza Salohitdinovna</i> MA'RIFATPARVARLARNING MATONATLI AYOLI XAYRINISO MAJIDXONOVA (1905-1937) HAYOTI VA FAOLIYATIGA CHIZGILAR	55-59
<i>Jainarov Obidjon Xamid yufli</i> XX ACP 20-ЙИЛЛАРИДА ЎЗБЕКИСТОНДА АДВОКАТУРА ТИЗИМИДАГИ ЎЗГАРИШЛАР (ФАРГОНА ВИЛОЯТИ МИСОЛИДА)	60-64
<i>Toshpo'latov Mamadali Zaynidin o'g'li</i> NUROTA TUMANI YOSHLARINI QO'LLAB-QUVVATLASH YUZASIDAN AMALGA OSHIRILGAN CHORA-TADBIRLARNING TARIXIY TAHLILI (2017-2024)	65-73
<i>Umarov Baxtishod</i> SOMONIYLAR DAVRIDA BUXORODA ILM-FAN RIVOJI (TARIX VA TIBBIYOT MISOLIDA)..	74-79
<i>Jўldoшев Салимжон Валиевич</i> ИНТЕГРАЦИЯЛАШУВ ЖАРАЁНЛАРИ ВА ХАЛҚ ЎЙИНЛАРИ	80-85
<i>Askarov Azimjon Odiljon o'g'li</i> O'RTA OSIYODAGI ANGLIYA-ROSSIYA RAQOBATINING TARIXIY KONTEKSTI VA KATTA O'YIN SIYOSATINING VUJUDGA KELISHI	86-91
<i>Eshchanov Hurmat Matnazarovich</i> O'ZBEKİSTON HUDUDI BRONZA DAVRIDA TOPOGRAFIK XUSUSİYATLARNI ETNIK JARAYONLARI KARTOGRAFIYASI.....	92-95
<i>Azamatova Gulmira Bayirbekovna</i> O'ZEKİSTONDA AHOLI MIGRATSIYASI VA UNGA TA'SIR ETGAN IJTIMOIYIQTISODIY	

OMILLAR	96-100
<i>Raimov Mamasoat Safar o'g'li</i> ESKI TERMIZ HAQIDA TARIXIY MA'LUMOTLAR	101-105
<i>Usmanov Jahongir Xo'jaqulovich</i> MARKAZIY OSIYO TARIXSHUNOSLIGIDA ILK ILMIY QARASHLARNING SHAKLLANISHI	106-109
<i>Usmanova Inobat Ibragimovna</i> PROCESSES OF GEOGRAPHICAL LOCATION OF THE IRON AGE POPULATION OF UZBEKISTAN	110-115
<i>Bekmirzayev Islomjon Ibrohim o'g'li</i> O'RTA G'OVASOY HUDUDI QADIMGI DAVR AHOLI TURAR-JOYLARI XUSUSIDA AYRIM MULOHAZALAR	116-120
<i>Gadoyev Dadaxon Xayrulloevich</i> BUXORDAGI "MASJIDI BALAND" MASJIDI QURILISHI VA TARIXI	121-125
<i>Rajabova Sabohat Bobirovna</i> IQTISODIY HAYOTDA TADBIRKOR AYOLLARNING TUTGAN O'RNI (SURXONDARYO VILOYATI MISOLIDA)	126-132
<i>Aloxunov Alisher Ahmadjonovich</i> ARXELOGIYADA GEOGRAFIK AXBOROT TIZIMLARIDAN FOYDALANISHNING ASOSIY IMKONIYATLARI XUSUSIDA	133-138
<i>Sharopov Saidusmon Saidaxmadovich</i> XIX ASR OXIRI VA XX ASR BOSHLARIDA BUXORO AMIRLIGINING JANUBISHARQIY HUDUDI SHAHARLARI, XUSUSAN QARSHI, SHAHRISABZ VA KITOB SHAHARLARINI RUS TARIXCHILARI ASARLARIDA YORITILISHI	139-144
<i>Axmedova Gulchehra O'skinovna</i> SHEROBOD VOHASI NOMODDIY MADANIYATI DURDONALARI	145-149
<i>Abduraximov Husniddin</i> O'ZBEKISTON VA AVSTRIYA RESPUBLIKASI O'RTASIDA INVESTITSIYAVIY, HUQUQIY HAMDA ILM-FAN SOHASIDA HAMKORLIKNING MUSTAHKAMLANISHI	150-158
<i>Turopova Mohidil Turdiyevna</i> O'ZBEKISTON JUNUBIY HUDUDLARI TOPONIMIKASINING TABIAT BILAN BOG'LIQLIGI VA URF-ODATLARINING O'ZIGA XOS XUSUSIYATLARI	159-163
<i>Annayeva Zarifa Musurmonqulovna</i> EKOLOGIK HOLATNI BARQARORLASHTIRISHNING ASOSIY YO'NALISHLARI	164-168
<i>Ahmadov Xurshid San'atovich</i> "CHIG'ATOY IMLOSI" VA MILLIY ZIYOLILAR	169-175
<i>Axmadova Nafisa Axmad qizi</i> O'ZBEKISTON VA GERMANIYA MADANIY ALOQALARINING RIVOJLANISHI	176-179

<i>Жумаева Шоҳида Чориевна</i>	
МУСТАҚИЛЛИК ДАВРИДА ҚИШЛОҚ ХЎЖАЛИГИНИНГ РИВОЖЛANIШI	180-186
<i>Elmuratova Umida Farxadovna</i>	
TA'LIM IMKONIYATLARINI KENGAYTIRISHNING GENDER TENGLIGINI TA'MINLASHGA TA'SIRI	187-191
<i>Nazarov Otabek Muzrobovich</i>	
XX ASRNING 80-YILLARI QATAG'ONLIK SIYOSATINING SURXONDARYODAGI IJTIMOIY- IQTISODIY JARAYONLARGA TA'SIRI	192-197
<i>Kupaysinov Ismoyiljon Ahmadjanovich</i>	
1950-1990 YILLARDA ANDIJON OBLASTI JAMOAT TRANSPORTI FAOLIYATI VA UNDAGI AYRIM MUAOMMOLARNI MATBUOTDA YORITISHI ("KOMMUNIST" GAZETASI MATERIALLARI MISOLIDA)	198-202
<i>Oripova Laylo Zafarovna</i>	
O'ZBEKİSTON YOZUVCHILAR UYUSHMASI FAOLIYATI TARIXIGA NAZAR	203-207
08.00.00 – IQTISODIYOT FANLARI	
<i>Magsud Azad Guliyev</i>	
OZARBAYJONDA YASHIL ENERGIYAGA O'TISH: SO'ROQ NATIJALARINI TAHLIL QILISH	208-214
<i>Глазова Марина Викторовна</i>	
ВКЛАД «ЗЕЛЕНОГО» УЧЁТА В ФИНАНСОВОМ МЕНЕДЖМЕНТЕ В УСТОЙЧИВОЕ РАЗВИТИЕ КОМПАНИЙ ЭНЕРГЕТИЧЕСКОЙ ОТРАСЛИ В УЗБЕКИСТАНЕ: МЕТОДОЛОГИЧЕСКИЙ АСПЕКТ	215-231
<i>Oramov Jaxongir Jurayevich</i>	
RAQAMLI INNOVATSİYALAR DAVRIDA MOLİYAVİY BARQARORLIKNI BAHOLASHDA EKONOMETRIK MODELLAR	232-238
<i>Шадиева Дилдора Хамидовна</i>	
БАНК СОҲАСИГА РАҶАМЛАШТИРИШ ЖАРАЁНЛАРИНИНГ ТАЪСИР	239-243
<i>Umronov Eldorbek Sodirovich</i>	
TURISTIK KORXONALarda TADBIRKORLIK FAOLIYATI RAQOBATBARDOSHLIGINI BAHOLASH	244-255
<i>Sattarov Umirzoq Normengovich</i>	
MINTAQANI BARQAROR RIVOJLANТИRISHDA TRANSFORMATSIYALASH JARAYONI... 256-262	
<i>Хамдамов Шавкат Комилович</i>	
СОЦИАЛЬНАЯ ЗАЩИТА И БОРЬБА С БЕДНОСТЬЮ: СТРАТЕГИИ ФИНАНСИРОВАНИЯ В УЗБЕКИСТАНЕ	263-267
<i>Хайитов Жамшид Холвоевич</i>	
ИҚТИСОДИЁТДА ОБОДОНЛАШТИРИШ ХИЗМАТЛАРИНИ РИВОЖЛАНТИРИШ – ДАВР ТАЛАБИ	268-272
<i>Xurramov Azamat Fayzullayevich</i>	
BOZOR IQTISODIYOTI SHAROITIDA UY XO'JALIGI SEKTORINING O'RNI VA AHAMIYATI	273-278

Алимханова Нигора Алимхановна

ТОВАР-МОДДИЙ ЗАХИРАЛАР АУДИТИДА ТАҲЛИЛИЙ АМАЛЛАРНИ ҚЎЛЛАШ 279-287

09.00.00 – FALSAFA FANLARI

Jumayev Oybek Maratovich

О'zbekistonda kambag'allikni qisqartirish dinamikasining ijtimoiy-siyosiy jihatlari 288-296

Наврӯзова Гулчехра Нигматовна

ХОЖА АҲРОР ТАЪЛИМОТИДА ИНСОНИЙ ҲАҚИҚАТ МАСАЛАСИ 297-302

Хайридинов Аббосхон Анварович

Ғарб маданиятини оммалашишига ички омиллар таъсири 303-311

Муҳамеджанова Лалихон

Ахлоқнинг жамиятдаги трансформацион ва барқарорлаштирувчи функциялари 312-317

To'yeva Muhayyo Shuhrat qizi

О'zbekiston respublikasining yangilanish jarayonida xotinqizlar innovatsion tafakkurini oshirish va faollashuvi borasidagi siyosati: umumnazariy tahlillar 318-322

Ro'zimurodov Siroj Madatovich

O'ilaning ijtimoiy-iqtisodiy munosabatlarni shakllantirishda ma'naviy-axloqiy tarbiyaning ta'siri 323-326

Ravshanov Ulug'bek Rahbarovich

Axborot globallashuvi sharoitida yoshlarda milliy o'zlikni tarbiyalash masalalari 327-332

Allayarova Marxabo Mavlanovna

Shaxs badiiy ijodini shakllantirishning estetik muammolari va ma'naviyat 333-337

Хашимов Шерахон Жўрахонович

Ўзбекистон халқаро имиджининг юксалишида тиббиёт туризмининг тутган ўрни 338-342

Soatov Rasul Muxitdinovich

Boborahim mashrab hayoti va ijodida tasavvufga doir qarashlar tahlili 343-348

Ashurov Navfal Burxonjon o'g'li

Movarounnahr miqyosida nasaf shahrining ilmiy-madaniy asoslari 349-352

Ўразалиева Гулшада Бекпўлатовна

Гендер тенгликни таъминлаш - янги ўзбекистон стратегиясининг устувор йўналиши 353-358

Xudaynazarov Samad Xudayberdiyevich, Turgunov Azim Turgunovich

Jamiyatning ma'naviy - ijtimoiy rivojlanishida o'zbek xalqi milliy qadriyatlarining o'rni 359-364

Suvanov Ilhom Abdixalilovich

AXBOROT-MAFKURAVIY TAHDIDLARGA QARSHI KURASHDA MILLATLARARO TOTUVLIK VA
DINIY BAG'RIKENGLIKNI TA'MINLASHNING AHAMIYATI 365-371

Axmedova Dilrabo Sa'dullayevna

SHARQ ALLOMALARI ASARLARIDA OILA VA TARBIYA MASALALARI 372-376

Kayumova Aziza Toshmuradovna

IBN XALDUNNING JAMIYAT VA IJTIMOIY TARAQQIYOT TO'G'RISIDAGI
QARASHLARI 377-381

G'ulomov Obid G'ayratovich

YOSHLAR MA'NAVIY KAMOLOTIGA TA'SIR ETUVCHI IJTIMOIY-MADANIY OMILLARNING
O'ZIGA XOS XUSUSIYATLARI 382-385

Панжиев Суҳроб

ГЛОБАЛЛАШУВ ВА ФОЯВИЙ ТАҲДИДЛАР ДАВРИДА ҲАҚИҚАТ ТУШУНЧАСИНИНГ
АҲАМИЯТИ 386-391

Matnazarova Muhayyo Axmetjanovna, Azimova Charos Azamatovna

"ETNOS", "MILLAT" TUSHUNCHALARINING MAZMUN-MOHİYATI VA ULARNING ILMİY-
NAZARIY TAHLILI 392-398

Amanov Alisher Kaxramonovich

VAQTNI ILMİY JIHATDAN TAHLIL QILISH HAMDA UNI FALSAFIY VA MADANIY NUQTAI
NAZARDAN O'RGANISH 399-404

10.00.00 – FILOLOGIYA FANLARI

Пайзибаева Мадинахон Собиржон қизи

БРИТАНИЯ ХАЛҚ ЭРТАКЛАРИНИНГ ШАКЛЛАНИШИДА КЕЛЬТ МИФОЛОГИЯСИННИНГ
ТАЪСИРИ ВА ЎРНИ 405-409

Tevosyan Gayane Arturovna

O'ZBEK VA RUS TILI GRAMMATIKASINING QIYOSIY TIPOLOGIYASI 410-417

Boynazarov Islom Abduxalimovich

INGLIZ TILIDAGI FALSAFIY TERMINLARDA SINONIMIYA HODISASI 418-421

Bahriiddinova Yulduzxon Bahriiddin qizi

INGLIZ VA O'ZBEK TILLARIDAGI BOTANIK TERMINLARNING NOMINATIV TAHLILI VA BINAR
NOMLANISHI 422-426

Javliyev Mansurbek Odil o'g'li

KONSEPT TUSHUNCHASINING HOZIRGI ZAMON LINGVISTIKASIDA TUTGAN O'RNI VA
AHAMIYATI 427-431

Ruziyev Xusniddin Baxritdinovich

PARALLEL KORPUSLARDAN FOYDALANISH IMKONIYATLARI 432-436

Rahimova Shahnoza Palvannozirovna

INGLIZ TILIDAGI IBORALARNI O'RGANISHDA TARJIMA MUAMMOLARI 437-442

Muhamadiyev Timur Urazovich

INGLIZ TILIDAGI OAVDA OMMAVIYLASHMAGAN IBORALARDAN FOYDALANISH 443-446

<i>Панжиева Насиба Нармахматовна</i>	
ТЕОРЕТИЧЕСКИЕ ОСНОВЫ СЕМАНТИЧЕСКОГО ПОЛЯ	447-451
<i>Умирова Дилфузада Суюновна, Асадов Шухрат</i>	
ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ ЯВЛЯЕТСЯ НЕОТЪЕМЛЕМОЙ И НЕПРЕРЫВНОЙ ЧАСТЬЮ ПРАВ И ИНТЕРЕСОВ ЧЕЛОВЕКА	452-456
<i>Ибрагимова Мохира Муратовна</i>	
ХУДОЖЕСТВЕННЫЙ ПСИХОЛОГИЗМ И ЕГО ФУНКЦИИ В ТВОРЧЕСТВЕ ВИРДЖИНИИ ВУЛЬФ	457-464
<i>Тойчиева Насибахон Суранбаевна, Ткебучава Ирина Георгиевна, Темирова Шоира Гайбуллаевна</i>	
АКТУАЛЬНЫЕ ТЕНДЕНЦИИ В ИЗУЧЕНИИ ИСТОРИИ ЛИНГВИСТИКИ	465-477
<i>Nurimova Diyora</i>	
LIRIK DISKURS VA UNING MOHIYATI	478-482
<i>Axmadjonova Mohinur Iqboljon qizi</i>	
INGLIZ VA O'ZBEK TILIDA NUTQ AKTLARINING SEMANTIK TUZILISH TAHЛИLI	483-491
<i>Jumanazarov Shukurali Tashmamatovich</i>	
KORPUS TUZISH TEKNOLOGIYASI.....	492-495
<i>Samadova Oydin Ilxomjon qizi</i>	
FRAZEОLOGIK BIRLIK TUSHUNCHASI HAMDA UNING QO'LLANILISHI	496-500
<i>Xamrayeva Rano Rajabovna</i>	
XX ASR INGLIZ ILMIY FANTASTIKASINI TARJIMA QILISH XUSUSIYATLARI	501-505
<i>Ermatov Ixtiyor, Mamatqulov Azizbek</i>	
A.HOJIYEVNING TERMINOLOGIK LUG'ATLARI XUSUSIDA	506-510
<i>Muxtorova Moxlaroyim</i>	
INGLIZ VA O'ZBEK TILLARIDA TANBEH BERISHNI BILDIRUVCHI BIRLIKLARNING O'ZIGA XOS XUSUSIYATLARI	511-517
<i>Abdullahayeva Nilufar Ramazonovna, Aliqulova Rohila</i>	
O'SIMLIK NOMLARI BILAN BOG'LIQ TARBIYAGA OID MAQOL VA MATALLARNING CHOG'ISHTIRMA TAHЛИLI	518-521
<i>Носирова Мубина Олимовна</i>	
СПЕЦИФИКА ОТРАЖЕНИЯ АНТРОПОЦЕНТРИЧЕСКИХ ПОСЛОВИЦ В СЛОВАРЯХ РАЗНОСИСТЕМНЫХ ЯЗЫКОВ	522-528
<i>Xasanov Zafar Baxtiyorovich</i>	
ZAMONAVIY TILSHUNOSLIKDA SPORT ATAMALARINI O'RGANISHNING NAZARIY ASOSLARI	529-534
<i>Рахматов Бекзод Уктам угли</i>	
СОЦИАЛЬНО-ЛИНГВИСТИЧЕСКАЯ РЕПРЕЗЕНТАЦИЯ ПОНЯТИЯ «УЧИТЕЛЬ»	535-539

Yaxyoyev Fayzullo muhammadsoli o'g'li
 XX ASRNING IKKINCHI YARMIDA ALISHER NAVOIY LIRIKASIGA DOIR MATNSHUNOSLIK
 BILAN BOG'LIQ TALQINLAR..... 540-546

Otajonova Dildor Baxtiyarovna
 KORPUS TURLARINING LINVISTIKA SOHASINI TARAQQIY ETTIRISHDAGI
 AHAMIYATI 547-551

12.00.00 – YURIDIK FANLAR

Ҳамроқулов Баҳодир Мамашарифович
 МА'НЯВИЙ ЗИЁН КОМПЕНСАЦИЯСИ МИҚДОРИНИ АНИҚЛАШНИНГ НАЗАРИЙ ВА
 АМАЛИЙ МАСАЛАЛАРИ 552-559

Fayzullayev Aslbek Ulug'bek o'g'li
 MULKNI QASDDAN NOBUD QILISH YOKI UNGA ZARAR YETKAZISH UCHUN JINOIY
 JAVOBGARLIKNI TAKOMILLASHTIRISHNING AYRIM MASALALARI 560-564

Элмуродов Ҳусан Элмуродович
 МЕҲНАТНИ МУҲОФАЗА ҚИЛИШ СОҲАСИДА ЎҚУВДАН ҲАМДА БИЛИМ ВА
 КЎНИКМАЛАРИ ТЕКШИРУВДАН ЎТМАГАНДА ИШДАН ЧЕТЛАШТИРИШ 565-572

Аслонова Лайло Олимовна
 ИЛМИЙ ХОДИМЛАР ҲУҚУҚИЙ МАҚОМИНИ БЕЛГИЛАШНИНГ АЙРИМ
 МАСАЛАЛАРИ 573-583

Файзиева Гулрух Мухаммади кизи
 НЕКОТОРЫЕ ПОНЯТИЯ В СФЕРЕ ГАРАНТИИ ПРАВО НЕПРИКОСНОВЕННОСТИ
 ЖИЛИЩА 584-588

Ибрагимова Сабина Эркиновна
 К ВОПРОСУ О СОВЕРШЕНСТВОВАНИИ НАЦИОНАЛЬНЫХ МЕХАНИЗМОВ В СФЕРЕ
 ДОСУДЕБНОГО УГОЛОВНОГО ПРОИЗВОДСТВА В РЕСПУБЛИКЕ УЗБЕКИСТАН..... 589-593

Tosheva Maftuna Anvar qizi
 AXLOQQA QARSHI JINOYAT-HUQUQIY JIHATLARI 594-600

Халилов Дониёр Нортажиевич
 КОНСТИТУЦИОННОЕ РЕГУЛИРОВАНИЕ СОЦИАЛЬНО-ЭКОНОМИЧЕСКИХ ПРАВ И
 СВОБОД В СОЦИАЛЬНОМ ГОСУДАРСТВЕ 601-607

Файзуллаева Нигорахон Равшановна
 МЕЖДУНАРОДНЫЕ ДОГОВОРЫ В СИСТЕМЕ МЕЖДУНАРОДНОГО
 КУЛЬТУРНОГО ПРАВА 608-618

13.00.00 – PEDAGOGIKA FANLARI

Saydillayeva Mehrinaz Bahodir qizi
 OLIY TA'LIM TIZIMIDA TA'LIM DASTURLARINI LOYIHALASHTIRISH ASOSIDA PEDAGOGIK
 TA'LIM METODIKASINI TAKOMILLASHTIRISH ASOSLARI 619-626

Ubaydullaeva Jamila Hurramovna
 DARS TURLARI VA DARSNI REJALASHTIRISHGA NISBATAN YONDOSHUVLAR 627-631

<i>Ro'ziyeva Nazira Yodgorovna, Panjieva O'g'ilshod Baxodir qizi, Jo'raev Baxodir Norbo'tayevich</i>	
О'QUVCHILARGA TABIATNI ASRASH VA EZOZLASHNI "YASHIL MAKON" MILLIY	
TEXNOLOGIYA LOYIHASI ASOSIDA AMALIY ANJUMANLAR ORQALI OLIB BORISH 632-640	
 <i>Юлдошев Рустам Дишод угли</i>	
РОЛЬ ПРАГМАТИЧЕСКИХ КОМПЕТЕНЦИЙ В ИЗУЧЕНИИ ЯЗЫКА И КОММУНИКАТИВНОМ	
ПРОЦЕССЕ 641-645	
 <i>Razzakov Baxrom Abdug'afurovich</i>	
BO'LAJAK MUHANDISLAR UCHUN OG'ZAKI MULOQOT KO'NIKMALARINI SHAKLLANTIRISH:	
INGLIZ TILI DARSLARIDA TRANSPORT TERMINOLOGIYASINI	
O'RGANISHGA MISOLIDA..... 646-650	
 <i>Salimova Shahlo Sayfulloyevna</i>	
TA'LIM TIZIMIDA XAVFSIZ MUHITNI YARATISH MUAMMO VA ISTIQBOLLARI	651-656
 <i>Kuchkarova Arofathon</i>	
MATEMATIKA DARSLARIDA INNOVATSION TEXNOLOGIYALARDAN FOYDALANISH	
(GEOGEBRA DASTURI MISOLIDA) 657-661	
 <i>Radjabova Gulnoza Giyosiddinovna</i>	
YOZISH BO'YICHA KO'RSATMALARNI TAKOMILLASHTIRISHDA ZAMONAVIY AMERIKA	
INGLIZ TILI KORPUSINING (COCA) ROLI 662-669	
 <i>Sharifov Muxriddin Kamoladdin o'g'li</i>	
PROFESSIONAL TA'LIM MUASSASALARIDA MAXSUS FANLARNI O'QITISH	
ISTIQBOLLARI 670-675	
 <i>Saidova Surayyo Yarqulovna</i>	
NOFILOLOGIK TA'LIM YO'NALISHI TALABALARI O'QISH KOMPETENSIYASINI	
RIVOJLANTIRISHDA SOHAVIY MATNLAR XUSUSIYATLARI VA MATN TANLASH	
TAMOYILLARI 676-686	
 <i>Djalolov Furqat Fattohovich</i>	
UMUMIY O'RTA TA'LIM MAKTABLARIDA INGLIZ TILI TA'LIM SAMARADORLIGINI OSHIRISH	
VA SIFATINI YAXSHILASH YO'LLARI 687-691	
 <i>Niyazova Minara Baxramovna</i>	
TEXNIKA OLIY O'QUV YURTLARIDA TALABALARNI FRANSUZ TILIGA QIZIQTIRISHNING	
O'ZIGA XOS JIHATLARI..... 692-697	
 <i>Amrillayev Husniddin Ashrab o'g'li</i>	
OLIY TA'LIMDA VIRTUAL LABORATORIYALARDAN O'QUV JARAYONIDA FOYDALANISHNING	
PEDAGOGIK JIHATLARI 698-702	
 <i>Boboyeva Zarifa Jahonqulovna</i>	
SPORT TAYYORGARLIK JARAYONLARINING ADAPTIV MEXANIZMLARI..... 703-708	
 <i>O'rozov Shahobiddin Ziyadullayevich</i>	
AMIR TEMUR VA TEMURIYLAR DAVRIDA DAVLATNI BOSHQARISH TAMOYILLARI.... 709-713	
 <i>Muxtshamova Parvina Zahitovna</i>	
ESL DARSLARIDA HAQIQIY MATERIALLARDAN FOYDALANISH	714-716

Received: 20 May 2024**Accepted:** 30 May 2024**Published:** 19 June 2024*Article / Original Paper***SPECIFIC FEATURES OF THE UNITS DENOTING REPROACH IN THE ENGLISH AND UZBEK LANGUAGES****Muxtorova Moxlaroyim**

Teacher of World languages department, Kokand University

Abstract. This study explores the linguistic and cultural dimensions of reproach expression in English and Uzbek languages. Through semantic, syntactic, and cultural analysis of reproach-denoting units, the study examines the diverse ways in which individuals convey dissatisfaction or disappointment towards others' actions or behaviors. The findings reveal a rich variety of expressions reflecting cultural norms, social values, and interpersonal dynamics. Syntactic analysis uncovers structural patterns shaping the tone and intensity of reproachful expressions, while cultural analysis highlights the importance of considering cultural nuances in interpretation and usage. The study underscores the implications for language learning, translation, and intercultural communication, emphasizing the need for awareness of cultural and linguistic factors in cross-cultural interactions.

Keywords: Reproach, linguistic analysis, cultural dimensions, English language, Uzbek language, intercultural communication.

INGLIZ VA O'ZBEK TILLARIDA TANBEH BERISHNI BILDIRUVCHI BIRLIKLARNING O'ZIGA XOS XUSUSIYATLARI**Muxtorova Moxlaroyim**

Jahon tillari kafedrasi o'qituvchisi, Qo'qon universiteti

Annotatsiya. Ushbu tадqiqot ingliz va o'zbek tillarida tanbeh ifodasining lingistik va madaniy jihatlarini o'ргanadi. Ta'kidlovchi birliklarning semantik, sintaktik va madaniy tahlili orqali tadqiqot odamlarning xatti-harakatlaridan norozilik yoki umidsizlikni yetkazishning turli usullarini o'ргanadi. Natijalae madaniy me'yorlarni, ijtimoiy qadriyatlarni va shaxslararo farqlarini aks ettiruvchi turli xil ifodalarni yuzaga keltiradi. Sintaktik tahlil tanbeh iboralarning ohangi va intensivligini shakllantiruvchi tuzilmaviy so'z birikmalarini ko'rsatadi, hamda madaniy tahlil esa talqin va foydalanishda madaniy birikmalarni hisobga olish muhimligini ta'kidlaydi. Tadqiqot til o'ргanish, tarjima va madaniyatlararo muloqotga ta'sirini ta'kidlab, madaniyatlararo o'zaro ta'sirlarda madaniy va lingistik omillardan xabardor bo'lish zarurligini ta'kidlaydi.

Kalit so'zlar: ta'na, lingistik tahlil, madaniy o'lchovlar, ingliz tili, o'zbek tili, madaniyatlararo muloqot.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47390/SPR1342V4I6Y2024N79>

Introduction. The basic component of human communication, the expression of rebuke is deeply ingrained in language. There is a wide range of linguistic structures in Uzbek and English that are employed to express disapproving feelings. These linguistic units are employed to convey disapproval, discontent, or disappointment regarding the actions or behaviors of others. Examining the unique characteristics of these reproach-denoting units in Uzbek and English provides insights into the social and cultural dynamics ingrained in these languages as well as illuminating the linguistic mechanisms of reproach expression. Comprehending

language that is reproachful requires an examination of its pragmatic, syntactic, and semantic aspects. While syntactic analysis reveals the structural patterns and grammatical constructions used in expressing reproach, semantic analysis reveals the underlying meanings and connotations of reproach-denoting units. Furthermore, the pragmatic component looks at how these units are used in context and serve as communication tools in social interactions. Although Uzbek and English both express criticism in similar ways, they also have distinct linguistic characteristics influenced by different historical and cultural contexts. Through a closer look at these particular characteristics, we can learn more about the linguistic and cultural manifestations of resentment in each language.

Linguists, psychologists, and sociologists have long been interested in the study of reproach in language. Previous studies have looked into the social roles, cognitive foundations, and cross-cultural variances of reproach expression. More concentrated research is still required, though, to understand the unique linguistic characteristics of units that denote reproach in languages like Uzbek and English. The purpose of this study is to methodically examine the distinctive characteristics of the units in Uzbek and English that signify rebuke. The study aims to clarify the linguistic and cultural manifestations of reproach in each language by analyzing its semantic, syntactic, and pragmatic features. It attempts to find similarities and contrasts in the ways that Uzbek and English express resentment through comparison. Comprehending the linguistic characteristics of units that denote reproach in Uzbek and English has applications for language learners, translators, and communicators across cultural boundaries. It offers insightful information on intercultural competency, cultural sensitivity, and efficient communication techniques. Additionally, it advances the idea that language is a reflection of social norms and cultural values.

Literature review. The study of reproach-denoting units in language encompasses a multidisciplinary approach, drawing insights from linguistics, psychology, and cultural studies. This literature review examines previous research that explores the semantic, syntactic, and cultural dimensions of reproach expression in English and Uzbek languages.

Abdurasulovich (2023) delves into the lexical and semantic groups of judicial discourse in the Uzbek language, providing a foundational understanding of linguistic categorizations within the language. This work contributes to our understanding of the semantic nuances embedded within Uzbek discourse, which may extend to reproach-denoting units[1; 194-198]. Azizova (2023) explores the concept of "good" in the Russian and Uzbek language worldviews, shedding light on the cultural underpinnings of linguistic expressions. While not directly focused on reproach, this study offers valuable insights into the cultural values and norms that may influence the expression of reproach in Uzbek language[2; 161-175]. Erkinova (2024) investigates paremiological units representing time in English and Uzbek, highlighting the cultural and temporal dimensions of linguistic expressions. Although not directly related to reproach, this study contributes to our understanding of cultural representations within language, which may influence the expression of reproach[3; 505-509].

Galieva (2021) examines the paremiological representation of the concept "Word" in English, Russian, and Uzbek languages, providing insights into cross-cultural variations in linguistic expressions. While not specifically focused on reproach, this study contributes to our understanding of cultural representations within language, which may influence the expression of reproach[4; 83-96]. Kuchkinov (2020) explores paremiological units as reflections of

national character in Uzbek and English proverbs, offering insights into the cultural dimensions of linguistic expressions. This study provides a framework for understanding how cultural values and norms influence the expression of reproach in Uzbek language[5; 336-340]. Ochilova (2024) conducts a linguistic study of proverbs in English and Uzbek languages, uncovering the cultural and linguistic features embedded within these expressions. While not directly focused on reproach, this study contributes to our understanding of cultural representations within language, which may influence the expression of reproach[6; 322-325]. Sayfullayev (2023) examines the cultural study of gender stereotypes in English and Uzbek phraseological units, offering insights into the socio-cultural dimensions of linguistic expressions. While not specifically focused on reproach, this study provides a framework for understanding how cultural norms and values influence language use, including the expression of reproach[7; 12].

Silivanova (2012) investigates the linguistic and cultural basis of phraseological units denoting notions present in English and Ukrainian languages, offering insights into cross-cultural variations in linguistic expressions. While not directly focused on reproach, this study contributes to our understanding of cultural representations within language, which may influence the expression of reproach[8; 263-265]. Zulkhumor (2021) explores the semantics and lingu-culturological features of old Uzbek lexemes, providing insights into the historical and cultural dimensions of linguistic expressions. While not directly focused on reproach, this study offers valuable insights into the cultural underpinnings of language, which may influence the expression of reproach in Uzbek language[9; 488-497].

These studies collectively provide a foundation for understanding the semantic, syntactic, and cultural dimensions of reproach-denoting units in English and Uzbek languages. By examining linguistic expressions within their cultural contexts, we can gain a deeper understanding of how language reflects and shapes social interactions, including the expression of reproach.

Methods. A corpus of reproach-denoting units in English and Uzbek languages was compiled from various sources, including literature, online databases, and native speaker consultations. The selection criteria focused on including a diverse range of linguistic expressions specifically designed to convey reproachful sentiments.

Semantic analysis: Each reproach-denoting unit in the corpus was subjected to semantic analysis to identify its core meaning, connotations, and pragmatic functions within communicative contexts. This involved examining the literal and idiomatic interpretations of the expressions, as well as their cultural and social implications.

Syntactic analysis: The syntactic structures of reproach-denoting units were analyzed to identify common grammatical patterns, syntactic constructions, and collocational tendencies. This process involved examining the syntactic roles of individual words within the expression and their relationships to one another.

Cultural contextualization: The cultural context surrounding each reproach-denoting unit was explored to understand its historical origins, cultural significance, and sociolinguistic implications. This step involved consulting cultural references, historical texts, and native speaker insights to elucidate the broader cultural context in which these expressions are used.

Comparative analysis: A comparative analysis was conducted to compare the semantic, syntactic, and cultural features of reproach-denoting units between English and

Uzbek languages. This involved identifying similarities and differences in the linguistic mechanisms of reproach expression, as well as cultural variations in the interpretation and usage of these expressions.

Results. The analysis of reproach-denoting units in English and Uzbek languages revealed a multitude of linguistic features, semantic nuances, and cultural connotations. This section presents the key findings organized into several thematic categories: semantic properties, syntactic structures, cultural connotations, and comparative analysis between the two languages. Tables are provided to illustrate the distribution of reproach-denoting units across different semantic categories and syntactic patterns, along with examples from each language.

Semantic Properties. The semantic analysis of reproach-denoting units identified several overarching themes, including expressions related to disapproval, criticism, blame, and admonition. These units serve as linguistic tools for expressing negative evaluations of others' actions or behaviors. Table 1 presents examples of reproach-denoting units categorized based on their dominant semantic properties in English and Uzbek languages.

Table 1: Semantic Categories of Reproach-Denoting Units

Semantic Category	English Examples	Uzbek Examples
Disapproval	"Shame on you"	"Uyat senga, benomus"
Criticism	"You should be ashamed of yourself"	"Bu qilig'ingdan o'zing uyalishing kerak"
Blame	"It's all your fault"	"Hammasi seni deb bo'ldi"
Admonition	"You better watch yourself"	"O'zingni ehtiyot qil-a"

Syntactic Structures. The syntactic analysis of reproach-denoting units revealed a variety of structural patterns, including imperative constructions, declarative sentences, and interrogative forms. These syntactic structures play a crucial role in conveying the tone and intensity of the reproachful expression. Table 2 presents examples of reproach-denoting units categorized based on their syntactic structures in English and Uzbek languages.

Table 2: Syntactic Structures of Reproach-Denoting Units

Syntactic Structure	English Examples	Uzbek Examples
Imperative Constructions	"Shame on you"	" Uyat senga, benomus"
Declarative Sentences	"You should be ashamed of yourself"	" Bu qilig'ingdan o'zing uyalishing kerak"
Interrogative Forms	"Why did you do that?"	"Nega shunday qilgansiz?"

Cultural Connotations

The cultural analysis of reproach-denoting units revealed underlying cultural values, social norms, and interpersonal dynamics embedded within these expressions. Cultural factors influence the choice of reproachful language and the interpretation of such expressions within specific cultural contexts. Table 3 provides examples of reproach-denoting units categorized based on their cultural connotations in English and Uzbek languages.

Table 3: Cultural Connotations of Reproach-Denoting Units

Cultural Connotation	English Examples	Uzbek Examples
----------------------	------------------	----------------

Formality vs. Informality	"You should know better"	"Buni mendan ham yaxshi bilasan"
Power Dynamics	"Who do you think you are?"	"O'zingizni kim deb o'ylaysan?"
Politeness Strategies	"I'm sorry, but that was unacceptable"	"Kechir, lekin buni ko'tara olmayman"
Social Hierarchies	"You're out of line"	"Haddingdan oshding sen"

Comparative Analysis. The comparative analysis between English and Uzbek languages revealed both similarities and differences in the expression of reproach. While both languages employ a variety of linguistic tools to convey reproachful sentiments, there are notable differences in terms of cultural norms, linguistic structures, and pragmatic functions. Table 4 presents examples of reproach-denoting units from English and their counterparts in Uzbek language.

Table 4: Comparative Analysis of Reproach-Denoting Units

English Reproach-Denoting Unit	Uzbek Equivalent
"Shame on you"	"Uyat senga"
"You should know better"	"Buni mendan ham yaxshi bilasan "
"It's all your fault"	"Hammasi seni deb bo'ldi"
"Who do you think you are?"	"O'zingni kim deb o'ylaysan?"

The results of the analysis demonstrate the richness and complexity of reproach expression in both English and Uzbek languages. Semantic analysis revealed a wide range of expressions related to disapproval, criticism, blame, and admonition. Syntactic analysis uncovered various structural patterns, including imperative constructions, declarative sentences, and interrogative forms. Cultural analysis identified underlying cultural values, social norms, and interpersonal dynamics embedded within these expressions.

The comparative analysis highlighted both similarities and differences in the expression of reproach between English and Uzbek languages. While both languages employ similar linguistic tools to convey reproachful sentiments, there are notable variations in terms of cultural norms, linguistic structures, and pragmatic functions. These findings underscore the importance of considering cultural and linguistic factors in the interpretation and usage.

Discussion. The comprehensive analysis of reproach-denoting units in English and Uzbek languages provides valuable insights into the linguistic, cultural, and pragmatic dimensions of reproach expression. This discussion synthesizes the key findings and explores their implications for language use, cross-cultural communication, and intercultural understanding.

The semantic analysis revealed a rich variety of expressions used to convey reproachful sentiments in both languages. From expressions of disapproval and criticism to blame and admonition, the lexicon of reproach reflects the complexity of human interaction and the diverse ways in which individuals express dissatisfaction or disappointment. However, it is important to note that the interpretation of these expressions is deeply rooted in cultural norms, social values, and interpersonal dynamics. For example, expressions like "Shame on you" in English and "Sizga qaramasligingiz" in Uzbek may carry different cultural connotations and pragmatic implications, influenced by cultural norms surrounding politeness, hierarchy, and power dynamics.

The syntactic analysis uncovered a range of structural patterns employed in expressing reproach, including imperative constructions, declarative sentences, and interrogative forms. These syntactic structures play a crucial role in shaping the tone, intensity, and communicative functions of reproachful expressions. Imperative constructions, such as "Shame on you" or "Watch yourself," convey direct commands or admonishments, while declarative sentences like "You should be ashamed of yourself" or "It's all your fault" express judgments or attributions of responsibility. Interrogative forms, such as "Why did you do that?" or "Who do you think you are?" serve to challenge or question the actions or behaviors of the interlocutor. The choice of syntactic structure is influenced by contextual factors, including the relationship between the interlocutors, the severity of the transgression, and the desired rhetorical effect.

The cultural analysis highlighted the importance of considering cultural norms and values in the interpretation and usage of reproach-denoting units. Cultural factors, such as politeness strategies, social hierarchies, and power dynamics, shape the choice of reproachful language and influence its reception within specific cultural contexts. For example, expressions of reproach in English may exhibit a greater degree of indirectness or mitigation compared to their counterparts in Uzbek, reflecting cultural norms surrounding politeness and face-saving strategies. Moreover, expressions of reproach may vary in their appropriateness or effectiveness depending on the cultural background and communicative norms of the interlocutors. Awareness of these cultural nuances is essential for effective cross-cultural communication and intercultural competence.

The findings of this study have practical implications for language learners, translators, and intercultural communicators. By gaining a deeper understanding of the linguistic and cultural dimensions of reproach expression, language learners can develop greater proficiency in using and interpreting reproachful language in real-life communication. Translators can navigate the challenges of translating reproach-denoting units by considering the cultural context and pragmatic functions of these expressions in both the source and target languages. Intercultural communicators can enhance their intercultural competence by recognizing and navigating cultural differences in the expression and interpretation of reproachful language, thereby fostering effective communication and mutual understanding across cultural boundaries.

Conclusion. In conclusion, the analysis of reproach-denoting units in English and Uzbek languages offers valuable insights into the linguistic, cultural, and pragmatic dimensions of reproach expression. By examining the semantic properties, syntactic structures, and cultural connotations of these expressions, this study contributes to our understanding of how language reflects and shapes social interactions, cultural norms, and interpersonal dynamics. The findings underscore the importance of considering cultural and linguistic factors in the interpretation and usage of reproachful language, and highlight the implications for language learning, translation, and intercultural communication. By fostering awareness of cultural nuances and enhancing intercultural competence, individuals can navigate the complexities of cross-cultural communication and promote mutual understanding and respect in diverse linguistic and cultural contexts.

Литературы/References/Adabiyotlar:

1. Abdurasulovich, M. Q. Definition Of Lexical And Semantic Groups Of Judicial Discourse In Uzbek Language. *JournalNX*, 7(06), 194-198.
2. Azizova, N. B. (2023). THE CONCEPT OF " GOOD" IN THE RUSSIAN AND UZBEK LANGUAGE WORLDVIEW. *Best Journal of Innovation in Science, Research and Development*, 2(9), 161-175.
3. Erkinova, L. (2024, May). PAREMIOLOGICAL UNITS REPRESENTING TIME IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK. In *Conference Proceedings: Fostering Your Research Spirit* (pp. 505-509).
4. Galieva, M. R. (2021). Paremiological representation of concept "Word"(in the English, Russian and Uzbek Languages). *Nizhnevartovsk Philological Bulletin*, 6(2), 83-96.
5. Kuchkinov, K. K. (2020). PAREMIOLOGICAL UNITS AS REFLECTION OF NATIONAL CHARACTER (IN THE CONTEXT UZBEK AND ENGLISH PROVERBS). *Theoretical & Applied Science*, (6), 336-340.
6. Ochilova, Z. (2024). LINGUISTIC STUDY OF PROVERBS IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK LANGUAGES. *News of UzMU journal*, 1(1.2. 1), 322-325.
7. Sayfullayev, X. (2023). Cultural study of gender stereotypes in the english and uzbek phraseological units. *Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики*, 6(2).
8. Silivanova, I. M. (2012). Linguistic and cultural basis of the phraseological units denoting the notion present in English and Ukrainian languages. *Наукові записки [Національного університету Острозька академія]. Сер.: Філологічна*, (24), 263-265.
9. Zulkhumor, K. (2021). Semantics and lingu-culturological features of old uzbek lexemans. *ACADEMICIA: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 11(8), 488-497.

SCIENCEPROBLEMS.UZ

**ИЖТИМОЙ-ГУМАНИТАР ФАНЛАРНИНГ
ДОЛЗАРБ МУАММОЛАРИ**

Nº 6 (4) – 2024

**АКТУАЛЬНЫЕ ПРОБЛЕМЫ СОЦИАЛЬНО-
ГУМАНИТАРНЫХ НАУК**

ACTUAL PROBLEMS OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES

**Ижтимоий-гуманитар фанларнинг
долзарб муаммолари**” электрон
журнали 2020 йил 6 август куни 1368-
сонли гувоҳнома билан давлат
рўйхатига олинган.

Муассис: “SCIENCEPROBLEMS TEAM”
маъсулити чекланган жамияти

Таҳририят манзили:

100070. Тошкент шаҳри, Яккасарой
тумани, Кичик Бешёғоч кўчаси, 70/10-
уй. Электрон манзил:

scienceproblems.uz@gmail.com

Боғланиш учун телефонлар:

(99) 602-09-84 (telegram).